Published 7 April 2021 for the period 1 January 2020 – 31 December 2020

Purpose

This report is published annually by MiFID II investment firms and sets out information on the identity of execution venues and the quality of execution. Its purpose is to enable clients to evaluate the quality of TTSE's execution practices and to identify the top five execution venues by trading volume. The report has been prepared by Tokai Tokyo Securities Europe Limited ("TTSE") for the purposes of EU Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2017/576 ("RTS 28") which supplements EU Directive 2014/65/EU ("MiFID II")

The numbered headings below reflect the Article 3 requirements of RTS 28.

1. Activities in Scope

We set out below the top 5 venues and brokers (where applicable) for client orders in financial instruments by instrument type.

Note that for medium term note transactions and Japanese Government Bond transactions that TTSE is the only venue and broker. It is therefore not disclosed in the Top 5 venues. By the nature of the business model, TTSE has a specific mandate in place with its sole medium term note purchasing client to execute all orders and transactions. Both the client and TTSE are part of the same group of companies. TTSE has a specific mandate to execute Japanese Government Bond transactions for its client. TTSE is the only venue and broker for Japanese Government Bond transactions.

TTSE only transacts with professional clients.

Top 5 venues by class	of financial instrument		
Class of Instrument		Equities (shares a receipts)	and depositary
Confirmation if <1 average previous year	trade per business day in	Yes	
Top five venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order)	Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total executed volume	Number of orders executed as percentage of total orders executed	Percentage of Directed orders
XNMS	53%	37%	0%
XNYS	17%	25%	0%
ARCX	7%	8%	0%
BATS	5%	8%	0%
EDGX	4%	5%	0%
Passive/aggressive orders as	re not applicable		

Top 5 brokers by class of financi	al instrument		
Class of Instrument		Equities (share depositary rec	
Confirmation if <1 average trade per buyear	siness day in previous	Yes	
Top five brokers ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order)	Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total executed volume	Number of orders executed as percentage of total orders executed	Percentage of Directed orders
XKZZ2JZF41MRHTR1V493	91%	75%	0%
4PQUHN3JPFGFNF3BB653	9%	25%	0%
K6Q0W1PS1L1O4IQL9C32	0%	0%	0%
Passive/aggressive orders are not applic	eable	<u> </u>	

Top 5 venues by class	of financial instrument		
Class of Instrument		Bonds (non-priva	ate placement)
Confirmation if <1 average trade per business day in previous year		Yes	
Top five venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order)	Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total executed volume	Number of orders executed as percentage of total orders executed	Percentage of Directed orders
Bloomberg Multilateral Trading Facility (BMTF)	100%	100%	0%
Passive/aggressive orders a	re not applicable		

Top 5 brokers by class of f	inancial instrument		
Class of Instrument		Bonds (non-priv	vate placement)
Confirmation if <1 average trade per business day in previous year		Yes	
Top five brokers ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order)	Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total executed volume	Number of orders executed as percentage of total orders executed	Percentage of Directed orders
Barclays Bank PLC (G5GSEF7VJP5I7OUK5573)	98%	67%	0%
JP Morgan Securities PLC (K6Q0W1PS1L1O4IQL9C32)	2%	33%	0%
Passive/aggressive orders are no	l t applicable		

Top 5 venues by class	of financial instrument		
Class of Instrument		Exchange Traded Funds	
Confirmation if <1 average trade per business day in previous year		Yes	
Top five venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order)	Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total executed volume	Number of orders executed as percentage of total orders executed	Percentage of Directed orders
XNMS	50%	62%	0%
ARCX	29%	12%	0%
EDGX	7%	7%	0%
BATS	3%	4%	0%
XNYS	3%	5%	0%
Passive/aggressive orders an	e not applicable		

Top 5 brokers by class of t	inancial instrument		
Class of Instrument		Exchange Trade	d Funds
Confirmation if <1 average trade previous year	firmation if <1 average trade per business day in ious year Yes		
Top five brokers ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order)	Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total executed volume	Number of orders executed as percentage of total orders executed	Percentage of Directed orders
XKZZ2JZF41MRHTR1V493	100%	100%	0%
Passive/aggressive orders are no	t applicable		

Top 5 venues by class	of financial instrument		
Class of Instrument		Equity Index Futu	ires
Confirmation if <1 average trade per business day in previous year		Yes	
Top five venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order)	Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total executed volume	Number of orders executed as percentage of total orders executed	Percentage of Directed orders
XCME	99%	99%	0%
XEUR	0%	0%	0%
Passive/aggressive orders an	re not applicable	I	

Top 5 brokers by class of fi	nancial instrument		
Class of Instrument		Equity Index Fu	ture
Confirmation if <1 average trade per business day in previous year		Yes	
Top five brokers ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order)	Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total executed volume	Number of orders executed as percentage of total orders executed	Percentage of Directed orders
4PQUHN3JPFGFNF3BB653	100%	100%	0%

Passive/aggressive orders are not applicable	

Top 5 venues by class	of financial instrument		
Class of Instrument		FX Derivatives	1
Confirmation if <1 average previous year	trade per business day in	Yes	
Top five venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order)	Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total executed volume	Number of orders executed as percentage of total orders executed	Percentage of Directed orders
Bloomberg Multilateral Trading Facility (BMTF)	100%	100%	0%
Passive/aggressive orders a	re not applicable		

Top 5 brokers by class of financial instrum	ent		
Class of Instrument		FX Derivat	ives
Confirmation if <1 average trade per business day in previous year		Yes	
Top five brokers ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order) Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total executed volume		Number of orders executed as percentage of total orders executed	Percentage of Directed orders
JP Morgan Securities PLC (K6Q0W1PS1L1O4IQL9C32)	95%	95%	0%
Citibank, N.A. (E57ODZWZ7FF32TWEFA76)	4%	4%	0%
Barclays Bank PLC (G5GSEF7VJP5I7OUK5573)	0%	1%	
Passive/aggressive orders are not applicable			

Top 5 venues by class of financial instrument		
---	--	--

Class of Instrument Confirmation if <1 average trade per business day in previous year		Interest Rate Futures & Option	
		Yes	
Top five venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order)	Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total executed volume	Number of orders executed as percentage of total orders executed	Percentage of Directed orders
Chicago Board of Trade (XCBT)	68%	64%	0%
Eurex Exchange (XEUR)	24%	27%	0%
ICE Futures Europe (IFLL)	8%	9%	0%
Sydney Futures Exchange (XSFE)	0%	0%	0%
Passive/aggressive orders an	re not applicable	1	

Top 5 brokers by class of fin			
Class of Instrument	Interest Rate Futures & Option		
Confirmation if <1 average trade per business day in previous year		Yes	
Top five brokers ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order)	Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total executed volume	Number of orders executed as percentage of total orders executed	Percentage of Directed orders
Citigroup Global Markets Inc. (MBNUM2BPBDO7JBLYG310)	99%	99%	0%
HSBC bank PLC (MP6I5ZYZBEU3UXPYFY54)	1%	1%	0%
Passive/aggressive orders are not a			

1(a) Assessing execution and qualitative factors for 'Best Execution'

TTSE sets out an explanation of the relative importance the firm gave to the execution factors of price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution or any other consideration including qualitative factors when assessing the quality of execution.

TTSE takes into account a range of different factors (the "Execution Factors") in deciding how to execute an order, including:

• price;

- the cost of execution;
- timing and speed of execution;
- creditworthiness and financial stability;
- the execution venue;
- the likelihood of executing and settling the order (including the fill rate);
- the size of the order:
- the characteristics of the financial instrument including whether it is executed on a regulated market, multi-lateral trading facility (MTF), organised trading facilities (OTF) or over-the-counter (OTC);
- the market impact of the order;
- the characteristics of the execution venue to be used in respect of that financial instrument
- any other factors that may be relevant to the execution of the order

For the medium note bonds and Japanese Government Bonds TTSE is the sole entity instructed to execute the transactions for the client.

Subject to any express instructions, TTSE will exercise its discretion as the relative importance of the Execution Factors in the best interests of the client. TTSE works closely with its clients to ensure that its balances the factors with the desired outcomes and purpose of the transaction. Generally, the highest priority is placed on price. Other factors may also be prioritised by the client or TTSE, in the best interests of the client, such as the impact of market prices, speed and likelihood of execution and settlement. It is also important that the evaluation of venue and broker includes a knowledge of the market to isolate subset of dealers most likely to provide best execution in that specific name.

1(b) Close links and Common ownership

TTSE has no close links with any execution venues or brokers used for execution of client orders.

1(c) - (h) Conflicts of Interest Questions

(a) Provide a description of any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received.

N/A

(b) Provide an explanation of the factors that led to a change in the list of execution venues listed in the firm's execution policy, if such a change occurred.

N/A

(c) Provide an explanation of how order execution differs according to client categorisation, where the firm treats categories of clients differently and where it may affect the order execution arrangements.

TTSE only has professional clients all on the same terms.

(d) Provide an explanation of whether other criteria were given precedence over immediate price and cost when executing retail client orders and how these other criteria were instrumental in delivering the best possible result in terms of the total consideration to the client.

N/A

(e) Provide an explanation of how the investment firm has used any data or tools relating to the quality of execution, including any data published under Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2017/575 (RTS 27).

N/A

(f) Provide an explanation of how the investment firm has used output of a consolidated tape provider established under Article 65 of Directive 2014/65/EU.

N/A

END